	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/879,488	SUNDERMANN ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric S. McCall	2855
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT Re	OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu GHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to the Applicant's arguments.	ents dated Feb. 18, 2004.	
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-9 and 13-53</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner		
4.	been received. been received in Application tuments have been received of this communication to file ENT of this application. Itted. Note the attached EXAs reason(s) why the oath or to be submitted. It be submitted. It has application on the submitted on the submitted of the submitted of the submitted of the submitted. It has a submitted of the submitted of th	In No In this national stage application from the a reply complying with the requirements MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient. If (PTO-948) attached In the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
 Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No./Mail Date 2/18/04 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./I B), 7. ⊠ Examiner's	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) Immary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance Eric S. McCall Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2855

Application/Control Number: 09/879,488

Art Unit: 2855

EXAMINER'S COMMENT

Page 2

The Applicant's amended figures 8 and 9 have been considered but have not been

approved because in the process of labeling the block diagrams thereof, the Applicant has

removed the corresponding element numbering. The elimination of the element numbering from

figures 8 and 9 sets forth element numbering which is used in the specification but not in the

drawings. In other words, element numbers 50-64 would appear in the specification but not in

drawings which would fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5). The Applicant is asked to amend

figures 8 and 9 by labeling each block while maintaining the corresponding element numbering

to correspond to the written description.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Eric S. McCall at

telephone number (571) 272-2183.

Eric S. McCall Primary Examiner Art Unit 2855

March 16, 2004